Mr. Bathke:

I didn't response to your basically saying I didn't know what the hell I was talking about on how you have the proposed Wind Ordinance shown on the web site. I have it copied below:

"Davison County is working on a revision to the Zoning Ordinance. To view the proposed full ordinance, click on Zoning Ordinance-Revised 8 March 2017 To view just a list of the proposed changes to the ordinance, click on Zoning Ordinance-Proposed Changes-8 March 2017"

If I select "Zoning Ordinance – revised 8 march 2017 there is nowhere does it say "Proposed". It seems like you made a big point of my statement that it was confusing to me to understand how you have the information posted on the website. Your rebuttal to my statement seemed more important than what we came to talk about... the Ordinance itself.

In my life time I've probably read and/or written more ordinances than you have ever seen. If you want to get personal about this issue I'm ready to defend my position...the web site posting sucks! In my opinion you have taken the side of the energy promoters and produced an Ordinance without regard to the desires of the majority of Davison Citizens. You surely should have gained some sort of idea about the feelings of the people from the previous vote on the proposed wind system.

On the other hand I would offer my services as a citizen & Davison County Land Owner to help in any way I could to put together an Ordinance that would meet the criteria & wishes

of the majority citizens. Bluntly, in my opinion you & your staff have done a very poor job in creating the Wind Ordinance and explaining it to the citizens of Davison. Yes, we need a Wind Ordinance! But one that has been soundly researched. You stated you have been researching & working on the Wind Ordinance for 4 years. If that is so you or someone has done a poor job. I again urge you to do more research and revise your proposed Wind Ordinance to cover some of the items of my e-mail. As I stated today the most important issue is "Setbacks". If you have a 1 mile setback from inhabited residences the db, shadow reflections, and other similar items are taken care of. The other setback also need revising. Then it becomes important for the Ordinance to cover County exposure (liabilities, etc). Also important is the effects on wild animals, livestock, birds, bats, etc. If one citizen got sick or lost livestock because of a Wind Turbine, would the petty amount of revenue to the County be worth it?

Thank you,
Harvey Kelley